

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl.Misc. No.831-M of 2007

Date of Decision:- 05.02.2007

Kirandeep Kaur & anr.

....Petitioner(s)

through

Mr.Charanvir Singh, Advocate

vs.

State of Punjab & ors.

....Respondent(s)

through

Mr.Amit Khanna, AAG, Punjab

Mr.Harpreet Singh Brar, Advocate for
respondent Nos.4 and 5.

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

SURYA KANT, J.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 5, on instructions, states that respondents No.4 and 5 have already disowned their daughter, namely, petitioner No.1 who is stated to have married to petitioner No.2 on her own. Learned counsel further states that respondents No.4 and 5 shall not cause any interference in the life of the petitioners.

Mr.Amit Khanna, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from H.C.Bashir No.68, P.S.Malerkotla, states that effective steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners, have already been taken by the local police. Learned counsel for the petitioners also does not dispute the above-stated stand taken by learned counsel for the respondents.

In this view of the matter, this petition is disposed of with a direction that if the petitioners make any complaint in writing to the SHO, Police Station, Malerkotla expressing danger to their life and liberty, necessary action to ensure that no such harm is caused to them shall be taken by the local police authorities.

February 05, 2007
poonam

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**